

Tuesday, November 20, 2018

## THE ART OF THE OIL DEAL

---

For a long time now I've been predicting a recession sometime around 2020-2022. On October 3<sup>rd</sup> of this year I posted an article I wrote on BerkshireMM.com titled "Checking My Homework" to challenge my own theory. In it, I wrote:

"The biggest flaw by economists, in my opinion, is that they try to track the entirety of a \$19 trillion economy... the stock market turns down before the economy, but no one tries to improve on the methods to track expectations of the economy and to thus be more in line with where it's going, as opposed to where it's been. My way is a better mousetrap."

That better mousetrap is looking at sub-cycles instead of the whole economy, because not everything turns down at once. You know these sub-cycles; things like labor, monetary policy, profits, housing, commercial real estate, credit, energy, capital spending, autos, and fiscal policy. (Of those, only labor, profits, and capital spending are late in the cycle; only autos are very late. None are yet turning down, though we expect monetary policy to do so in 2019.)

The 26% drop in US benchmark West Texas Intermediate (WTI) oil prices since October 3<sup>rd</sup>, falling from \$76.41 to \$56.46, has caught our attention. I wonder if there is something wrong in the energy sub-cycle, rather than the something glorious, as I referred to in last week's column— the savings for consumers act as a de facto stimulus just as we enter the holiday shopping season.

However, remember the title of the article, "Checking My Homework." So much of what we do as investment advisors is playing Devil's Advocate to our own decisions. What is glorious today may be hot garbage tomorrow. Investments aren't meant to be held forever. They are meant to make you money. That's it. They're tools for you to use. We can't afford (with your money or ours) to stick to an investment decision out of homage to the archaic broker's mantra of "invest for the long term" when the information considered when making the investment has changed. When I'm wrong, I change my mind. So, let's try to figure out if I'm wrong on seeing that 26% drop of oil prices as a good thing.



A drop of that magnitude, and in such a short duration, is a red flag that the economy is slowing quickly. Oil volatility in 2017 was not the cause of the financial crisis, but it certainly was a signal that things were off. That being said, volatility can be a false positive. There have been 14 instances since 2000 in which oil prices dropped more than 20% in 20 days. On average, the prices rose 1.9% in the following 20 days. Notable exceptions were the aforementioned financial crisis, and in 2014 when prices dropped 70%, which stalled the economy and corporate profits.

The good news is that it's been a rise in supply, not demand that has dropped prices. The Trump administration had threatened to completely shut down all Iranian oil exports. President Trump said there would be no exceptions on waivers for sanctions on countries dealing with Iran. But that didn't happen. Instead, coincidentally before midterm elections, Trump quasi-secretly allowed Iran to keep exporting more than a million barrels per day while Saudi Arabia sharply increased its output to make up for the missing supply.

I say "quasi-secretly" because the US was rather upfront about saying we wouldn't disclose agreements with Iran's oil buyers because they wanted to avoid complaints from some who were asked to cut more than others. I am not making this stuff up. It was clever, but manipulative, pre-mid-term politics to bring down the cost of oil to voters. Saudi officials have not been silent on it, saying very clearly that they feel used.

This is all rather fortuitous for the US consumer going into the holiday shopping season. But here's the problem – mean reversion. Saudi officials want to get oil back up to \$80 per barrel, not an outrageous number but still about 12% higher than it was. But prices of anything in the capital markets don't tend to move with precision. As the Saudis attempt to normalize oil prices, the market is all but guaranteed to overshoot to the upside as it typically does when prices mean revert. That suggests \$90-\$100 barrels over the next year, just as the Fed is deep in a tightening cycle. That combination is the textbook description of what causes a recession.

Contrarians as we are, we like to buy when everyone else has sold, and we're getting close. Entering October, only 7% of hedge funds were short oil, but positions have spiked to 20%, which is right below the long-term average of 22%. I expect oil to stabilize short-term and to rise mid-term.



## **GENERAL DISCLOSURES**

Article may include forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements (including words such as “believe,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “may,” “will,” “should,” and “expect”). Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Various factors could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements. Historical performance is not indicative of future results. The investment return will fluctuate with market conditions. Performance is not indicative of any specific investment or future results. Views regarding the economy, securities markets or other specialized areas, like all predictors of future events, cannot be guaranteed to be accurate and may result in economic loss to the investor. Investment in securities, including mutual funds, involves the risk of loss. Registration with the SEC should not be construed as an endorsement of Advisor’s investment skill or acumen. Investment process, strategies, philosophies, allocations and other parameters are current as of the date indicated and are subject to change without prior notice. This article is intended to provide general information only and should not be construed as an offer of specifically tailored individualized advice.

## **STANDARD & POOR'S**

The S&P 500 Index (S&P) has been used as a comparative benchmark because the goal of the above account is to provide equity-like returns. The S&P is one of the world’s most recognized indexes by investors and the investment industry for the equity market. The S&P, however, is not a managed portfolio and is not subject to advisory fees or trading costs. Investors cannot invest directly in the S&P 500 Index. The S&P returns also reflect the reinvestment of dividends. Berkshire Money Management is aware of the benchmark comparison guidelines set forward in the SEC Clover No-Action Letter (1986) and compares clients’ performance results to a benchmark or a combination of benchmarks most closely resembling clients’ actual portfolio holdings. However, investors should be aware that the referenced benchmark funds may have a different composition, volatility, risk, investment philosophy, holding times, and/or other investment-related factors that may affect the benchmark funds’ ultimate performance results. Therefore, an investor’s individual results may vary significantly from the benchmark’s performance. The S&P 500 Index (S&P) has been used as a comparative benchmark because the goal of the above account is to provide equity-like returns. The S&P is one of the world’s most recognized indexes by investors and the investment industry for the equity market. The S&P, however, is not a managed portfolio and is not subject to advisory fees or trading costs. Investors cannot invest directly in the S&P 500 Index. The S&P returns also reflect the reinvestment of dividends.

## **DOW**

The Dow Jones Industrial Average (NYSE: DJI, also called the DJIA, Dow 30, INDP, or informally the Dow Jones or The Dow) is one of several stock market indices, created by nineteenth-century Wall Street Journal editor and Dow Jones & Company cofounder Charles Dow. The Dow average is computed from the stock prices of 30 of the largest and most widely held public companies in the United States. Clients of BMM may have portfolios that differ substantially from the composition of the DOW and therefore, their performance may vary significantly from that of the Dow. The Dow is used for illustrative purposes only, as one indicator of the overall US economy, and its past, present, or future performance should not be viewed as an indicator or comparison point for BMM client performance.

